



STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

77 South High Street, 17th Floor • Columbus, Ohio 43266-0315 • (614) 466-3934

February 16, 1996

Venus F. Navarro-Julian, M.D.
11929 Louise Avenue
Granada Hills, CA 91344

Dear Doctor Navarro-Julian:

Please find enclosed certified copies of the Entry of Order; the Report and Recommendation of Sharon W. Murphy, Attorney Hearing Examiner, State Medical Board of Ohio; and an excerpt of draft Minutes of the State Medical Board, meeting in regular session on February 14, 1996, including Motions approving and confirming the Report and Recommendation as the Findings and Order of the State Medical Board of Ohio.

Section 119.12, Ohio Revised Code, may authorize an appeal from this Order. Such an appeal may be taken to the Franklin County Court of Common Pleas only.

Such an appeal setting forth the Order appealed from and the grounds of the appeal must be commenced by the filing of a Notice of Appeal with the State Medical Board of Ohio and the Franklin County Court of Common Pleas within fifteen (15) days after the mailing of this notice and in accordance with the requirements of Section 119.12 of the Ohio Revised Code.

THE STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

Thomas E. Gretter, M.D.
Secretary

TEG:em
Enclosures

CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT NO. P 348 887 344
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

cc: Gerald S. Gold, Esq.

CERTIFIED MAIL NO. P 348 887 345
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mailed 2-20-96



STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

77 South High Street, 17th Floor • Columbus, Ohio 43266-0315 • (614) 466-3934

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the attached copy of the Entry of Order of the State Medical Board of Ohio; attached copy of the Report and Recommendation of Sharon W. Murphy, Attorney Hearing Examiner, State Medical Board; and an excerpt of draft Minutes of the State Medical Board, meeting in regular session on February 14, 1996, including Motions approving and confirming the Report and Recommendation as the Findings and Order of the State Medical Board of Ohio, constitute a true and complete copy of the Findings and Order of the State Medical Board in the matter of Venus F. Navarro-Julian, M.D., as it appears in the Journal of the State Medical Board of Ohio.

This certification is made by authority of the State Medical Board of Ohio and in its behalf.

(SEAL)

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Thomas E. Gretter", written over a horizontal line.

Thomas E. Gretter, M.D.
Secretary

A handwritten date "2/15/96" written in cursive script, positioned above a horizontal line.

Date



STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

77 South High Street, 17th Floor • Columbus, Ohio 43266-0315 • (614) 466-3934

BEFORE THE STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF

*

*

VENUS NAVARRO-JULIAN, M.D.

*

ENTRY OF ORDER

This matter came on for consideration before the State Medical Board of Ohio on the 14th day of February, 1996.

Upon the Report and Recommendation of Sharon W. Murphy, Hearing Examiner, Medical Board, in this matter designated pursuant to R.C. 4731.23, a true copy of which Report and Recommendation is attached hereto and incorporated herein, and upon the approval and confirmation by vote of the Board on the above date, the following Order is hereby entered on the Journal of the State Medical Board of Ohio for the above date.

It is hereby ORDERED that:


- A. The certificate of Venus Navarro-Julian, M.D., to practice medicine and surgery in the State of Ohio shall be permanently REVOKED. Such revocation is stayed, and Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate shall be SUSPENDED for an indefinite time, but not less than one year.
- B. The Board shall not consider reinstatement of Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate until all of the following minimum requirements are met:
 1. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall submit an application for reinstatement, accompanied by appropriate fees. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall not submit such application for at least one year from the effective date of this Order.
 2. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall comply with all terms, conditions, and limitations imposed by the California Board. Moreover, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall cause to be submitted to the Board copies of the quarterly reports that she submits to the California Board for so long a time as the California Board requires such submission.
 3. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall notify the Board of any action in any state taken against a certificate to practice medicine held by Dr. Navarro-Julian in that state. Moreover, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall provide acceptable documentation verifying the same.

4. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of Board disciplinary action or criminal prosecution stating whether she has complied with all the terms, conditions, and limitations imposed by this Board, the California Board and any other state medical board.
 5. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall immediately notify the Board in writing of any modification or change to any term, condition, or limitation imposed by any other state medical board.
 6. In the event that Dr. Navarro-Julian has not been engaged in the active practice of medicine and surgery for a period in excess of two years prior to application for reinstatement, the Board may exercise its discretion under Section 4731.222, Ohio Revised Code, to require additional evidence of Dr. Navarro-Julian's fitness to resume practice.
- C. Upon reinstatement, the certificate of Dr. Navarro-Julian shall be subject to the following PROBATIONARY terms, conditions, and limitations for at least five years.
1. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in the state in which she is practicing.
 2. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall appear in person for interviews before the full Board or its designated representative within three months of the reinstatement of her certificate and upon her request for termination of the probationary period, or as otherwise requested by the Board.
 3. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall submit quarterly declarations, under the penalty of Board disciplinary action or criminal prosecution, stating whether she has complied with all the terms and conditions of her probation in this State and with all terms, conditions, or limitations imposed by any other state medical board. Moreover, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall cause to be submitted to the Board copies of the quarterly reports that she submits to the California Board for so long a time as the California Board requires such submission.
 4. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall notify the Board of any action in any state taken against a certificate to practice medicine held by Dr. Navarro-Julian in that state. Moreover, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall provide acceptable documentation verifying the same.
 5. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall immediately notify the Board in writing should she fail to comply with any term, condition, or limitation of her probation or with any term, condition, or limitation imposed by any other state medical board.

6. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall immediately notify the Board in writing of any modification or change to any term, condition, or limitation imposed by any other state medical board.
7. Upon submitting renewal applications for each Ohio biennial registration period occurring during the period of probation, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall also submit acceptable documentation of Category I Continuing Medical Education credits completed. At least twenty hours of such Continuing Medical Education for each registration period, to be approved in advance by the Board or its designee, shall relate to the violations found in this matter.
8. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall refrain from commencing practice in Ohio without prior written Board approval. Moreover, should she commence practice in Ohio, the Board may place Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate under additional terms, conditions, or limitations, including the following:
 - a. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in Ohio.
 - b. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall appear in person for interviews before the full Board or its designated representative at three month intervals, or as otherwise requested by the Board.
 - c. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall submit to the Board and receive its approval for a plan of practice in Ohio which, unless and until otherwise determined by the Board, shall be limited to a supervised structured environment in which Dr. Navarro-Julian's activities will be directly supervised and overseen by another physician approved by the Board.
 - d. Within thirty days of commencement of practice in Ohio, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall submit for the Board's prior approval the name of a monitoring physician, who shall review Dr. Navarro-Julian's patient charts and shall submit a written report of such review to the Board on a quarterly basis. Such chart review may be done on a random basis, with the number of charts reviewed to be determined by the Board. It shall be Dr. Navarro-Julian's responsibility to ensure that the monitoring physician's quarterly reports are submitted to the Board on a timely basis. If the approved monitoring physician becomes unable or unwilling to serve, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall immediately notify the Board in writing and shall arrange another monitoring physician as soon as practicable.
 - e. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall provide a copy of this Order to all employers and the Chief of Staff at each hospital where she has, applies for, or obtains privileges.

- f. In the event that Dr. Navarro-Julian has not been engaged in the active practice of medicine and surgery for a period in excess of two years prior to commencement of practice in Ohio, the Board may exercise its discretion under Section 4731.222, Ohio Revised Code, to require additional evidence of Dr. Navarro-Julian's fitness to resume practice.
 - 9. If the California Board should terminate Dr. Navarro-Julian's probationary terms, conditions, and limitation before Dr. Navarro-Julian completes a five year probationary period in that state, the Board may place Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate under additional terms, conditions, or limitations as set forth in paragraph 8, above.
 - 10. If Dr. Navarro-Julian violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Dr. Navarro-Julian notice and the opportunity to be heard, may set aside the stay order and impose the permanent revocation of Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate to practice.
- D. Upon successful completion of probation, as evidenced by a written release from the Board, Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate will be fully restored.

This Order shall become effective immediately upon the mailing of notification of approval by the State Medical Board.



Thomas E. Gretter, M.D.
Secretary

(SEAL)

2/15/96

Date

95 DEC 12 PM 2:47

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
IN THE MATTER OF VENUS NAVARRO-JULIAN, M.D.**

The Matter of Venus Navarro-Julian, M.D., was heard by Sharon W. Murphy, Esq., Hearing Examiner for the State Medical Board of Ohio, on November 28, 1995.

INTRODUCTION

I. Basis for Hearing

- A. By letter dated September 6, 1995, the State Medical Board of Ohio [Board] notified Venus Navarro-Julian, M.D., that it proposed to take disciplinary action against her certificate to practice medicine and surgery in Ohio based on one or more of the following allegations:

On May 23, 1994, the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California [California Board] adopted a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order as its Decision. The Decision suspended Dr. Navarro-Julian from the practice of medicine for thirty days and placed her certificate to practice on probation for five years. The action was based on Dr. Navarro-Julian's "acts of gross negligence [which] contributed to the death of a patient."

The Board asserted that the California Board action constitutes "the limitation, revocation, or suspension by another state of a license or certificate to practice issued by the proper licensing authority of that state, the refusal to license, register, or reinstate an applicant by that authority, or the imposition of probation by that authority, for an action that also would have been a violation of this chapter, except for nonpayment of fees,' as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(22), Ohio Revised Code, to wit: Section 4731.22(B)(6), Ohio Revised Code."

In addition, the Board advised Dr. Navarro-Julian of her right to request a hearing in this Matter. (State's Exhibit 1).

- B. On October 2, 1995, Gerald S. Gold, Esq., filed a written hearing request on behalf of Dr. Navarro-Julian. (State's Exhibit 2).

II. Appearances

- A. On behalf of the State of Ohio: Betty D. Montgomery, Attorney General, by Mary K. Crawford, Assistant Attorney General.
- B. On behalf of Respondent: Gerald S. Gold, Esq.

EVIDENCE EXAMINED

I. Testimony Heard

Neither party presented witnesses.

II. Exhibits Presented

In addition to State's Exhibits 1 and 2, noted above, the following exhibits were identified and admitted into evidence:

A. Presented by the State

- 1. State's Exhibit 3: Copy of October 11, 1995, letter to Mr. Gold from the Board notifying him that a hearing in this matter had been scheduled for October 18, 1995, but further advising that the hearing had been postponed pursuant to Section 119.09, Ohio Revised Code.
- 2. State's Exhibit 4: Copy of October 18, 1995, letter to Mr. Gold from the Board scheduling the hearing in this matter for November 28, 1995. (3 pp.)
- 3. State's Exhibit 5: Copies of certified documents from the California Board, including a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, and an Accusation. (18 pp.)

B. Presented by Respondent

- 1. Respondent's Exhibit A: Curriculum vitae of Dr. Navarro-Julian.
- 2. Respondent's Exhibit B: Copy of March 8, 1995, letter to Dr. Navarro-Julian from the California Board advising her that she had passed the oral clinical examination and could resume the practice of medicine.

95 DEC 12 PM 2:47

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

All exhibits and transcripts of testimony, even if not specifically mentioned, were thoroughly reviewed and considered by the Attorney Hearing Examiner before preparing this Report and Recommendation.

1. Venus Navarro-Julian, M.D., received a Doctor of Medicine degree from the Far Eastern University in Manila, Philippines, in 1973. After completing an internship and residency in obstetrics and gynecology in the Philippines, Dr. Navarro-Julian completed an internship and residency in obstetrics and gynecology at St. Thomas Hospital Medical Center in Akron, Ohio, in 1980. She maintained a private practice in Washingtonville and Salem, Ohio, from 1981 through 1985. In 1985, Dr. Navarro-Julian relocated to California where she has maintained a private practice until the present time. (Respondent's Exhibit [Resp. Ex.] A).
2. On November 6, 1992, the California Board filed an Accusation against Dr. Navarro-Julian. Subsequently, on December 13, 1993, the California Board issued a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order [California Order]. In the California Order, Dr. Navarro-Julian stipulated that the facts and allegations contained in paragraphs 7, 8, and 9 of the Accusation were true. (State's Exhibit [St. Ex.] 5 at 4).

Paragraph 7 of the California Order states that Dr. Navarro-Julian committed "acts of gross negligence in her care and treatment" of one patient [Patient 1], which contributed to that patient's death. This conclusion was based upon facts set forth in Paragraph 7, which included the following:

- a. Dr. Navarro-Julian treated Patient 1 for pregnancy from March through November 1, 1988.
- b. On November 1, at Dr. Navarro-Julian's clinic, Patient 1 demonstrated a nine pound weight gain and an elevated blood pressure of 140/88.
- c. At 11:30 PM on November 2, Patient 1 presented to the emergency room at approximately 33 to 38 weeks in her pregnancy, "moaning constantly and complaining of epigastric pain and mild irregular contractions." Her blood pressure fluctuated between 180/108 to 190/108.
- d. At 1:15 AM on November 3, Dr. Navarro-Julian provided telephone orders but did not order an evaluation of Patient 1's liver enzymes or prescribe anti-hypertensive medication.

Report and Recommendation

In the Matter of Venus Navarro-Julian, M.D.

Page 4

- e. At 7:00 A.M., Dr. Navarro-Julian provided a telephone order for Aldomet. Not yet having seen Patient 1 herself, Dr. Navarro-Julian consulted an internist who saw the patient at 8:30 AM.
- f. Dr. Navarro-Julian finally saw Patient 1 at 10:30 A.M., after Patient 1 had been in the emergency room 10½ hours. At 10:45, the internist ordered Patient 1 transferred to the Intensive Care Unit and consulted a perinatologist.
- g. At 10:55 A.M., Patient 1 responded only to painful stimuli. The perinatologist ordered an immediate Cesarean Section. Although Patient 1 gave birth to a live baby girl, Patient 1 died the following day.
- h. Dr. Navarro-Julian claimed that she did not go to the emergency room earlier because the nurse "did not think the patient was sick," and because the nurse told Dr. Navarro-Julian that "the patient's blood pressure was 'okay.'"

(St. Ex. 5 at 12-15).

- 3. In the California Order, Dr. Navarro-Julian stipulated to the California Board's interpretation of her care and treatment of Patient One as gross negligence. The California Board specifically stated that Dr. Navarro-Julian's conduct constituted gross negligence because Dr. Navarro-Julian failed to order bed rest and a low sodium diet. Moreover, Dr. Navarro-Julian failed to reevaluate Patient 1 after she presented at the clinic on November 1, 1988, with an elevated blood pressure and weight gain. The California Board also found that Dr. Navarro-Julian failed to recognize the signs of impending pregnancy-induced hypertension. In addition, the California Board found that Dr. Navarro-Julian failed to examine Patient 1 in the emergency room within a reasonable amount of time and failed to have another physician examine Patient 1 even though Patient 1 had been hospitalized for more than eight hours. The California Board also noted that Dr. Navarro-Julian failed in her duties as a physician by relying on the emergency room nurse's evaluation of the patient's condition. Finally, the California Board found that Dr. Navarro-Julian failed to properly monitor Patient 1, appropriately order blood tests, or promptly consult with the perinatologist. (St. Ex. 5 at 15-17).
- 4. Dr. Navarro-Julian also stipulated to the facts alleged in Paragraphs 8 and 9 of the Accusation. Paragraph 8 asserted that Dr. Navarro-Julian had committed "repeated negligent acts" which contributed to Patient 1's death. Paragraph 9 asserted that Dr. Navarro-Julian was incompetent in her treatment and management of Patient 1 which contributed to Patient 1's death. The California

95 DEC 12 PM 2:17

Board based its allegations in Paragraphs 8 and 9 on the facts asserted in Paragraph 7, as stated above. (St. Ex. 5 at 17-18).

5. The California Board ordered that Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate to practice medicine and surgery be revoked, but stayed the order of revocation pending Dr. Navarro-Julian's completion of a five year probationary period. As a condition of probation, the California Board ordered that Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate be suspended for thirty days. Termination of the period of suspension was conditioned upon Dr. Navarro-Julian's passing an oral examination in the area of obstetrics and gynecology. The California Board also ordered Dr. Navarro-Julian to complete 150 hours of community service providing free medical care at a community or charitable facility. In addition, the California Board ordered Dr. Navarro-Julian to complete an additional 40 hours of Continuing Medical Education for each year of her probation. Dr. Navarro-Julian was also required to pass an ethics course, obey all laws, submit quarterly reports, comply with a probation surveillance program, and appear for personal interviews with the California Board's medical consultant. (St. Ex. 5 at 5-8).
6. At hearing, counsel for Dr. Navarro-Julian represented that Dr. Navarro-Julian had failed the oral examination in obstetrics and gynecology one time. Dr. Navarro-Julian passed the examination the second time she took it. On March 8, 1995, the California Board advised Dr. Navarro-Julian that she had passed the oral-clinical examination and could resume the practice of medicine. After an 8½ month suspension, Dr. Navarro-Julian resumed her practice of medicine in California. (Transcript at 7, 17; Resp. Ex. B).

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On May 23, 1994, Venus Navarro-Julian, M.D., and the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California agreed to a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. The Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order was based on Dr. Navarro-Julian's care and treatment of Patient 1 in November 1988. The California Board found that Dr. Navarro-Julian's acts of gross negligence, repeated negligent acts, and incompetence, in the treatment and management of Patient 1, contributed to Patient 1's death.
2. Among other limitations, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order revoked Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate to practice medicine and surgery in the state of California. The California Order stayed the revocation, but placed Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate to practice on probation for five years. Terms of probation included a suspension of at least thirty days, pending passage of an oral examination in obstetrics and gynecology. Dr. Navarro-Julian passed the

examination after failing it once, and resumed the practice of medicine after an 8½ month suspension.

CONCLUSIONS

The California Board action, as set forth in Findings of Fact 1 and 2, constitutes “the limitation, revocation, or suspension by another state of a license or certificate to practice issued by the proper licensing authority of that state, the refusal to license, register, or reinstate an applicant by that authority, or the imposition of probation by that authority, for an action that also would have been a violation of this chapter, except for nonpayment of fees,’ as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(22), Ohio Revised Code, to wit: 4731.22(B)(6), Ohio Revised Code.”

PROPOSED ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that:

- A. The certificate of Venus Navarro-Julian, M.D., to practice medicine and surgery in the State of Ohio shall be permanently REVOKED. Such revocation is stayed, and Dr. Navarro-Julian’s certificate shall be SUSPENDED for an indefinite time, but not less than one year.
- B. The Board shall not consider reinstatement of Dr. Navarro-Julian’s certificate until all of the following minimum requirements are met:
 1. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall submit an application for reinstatement, accompanied by appropriate fees. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall not submit such application for at least one year from the effective date of this Order.
 2. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall comply with all terms, conditions, and limitations imposed by the California Board. Moreover, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall cause to be submitted to the Board copies of the quarterly reports that she submits to the California Board for so long a time as the California Board requires such submission.
 3. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall notify the Board of any action in any state taken against a certificate to practice medicine held by Dr. Navarro-Julian in that state. Moreover, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall provide acceptable documentation verifying the same.
 4. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of Board disciplinary action or criminal prosecution stating whether she has complied

95 DEC 12 PM 2:47

with all the terms, conditions, and limitations imposed by this Board, the California Board and any other state medical board.

5. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall immediately notify the Board in writing of any modification or change to any term, condition, or limitation imposed by any other state medical board.
 6. In the event that Dr. Navarro-Julian has not been engaged in the active practice of medicine and surgery for a period in excess of two years prior to application for reinstatement, the Board may exercise its discretion under Section 4731.222, Ohio Revised Code, to require additional evidence of Dr. Navarro-Julian's fitness to resume practice.
- C. Upon reinstatement, the certificate of Dr. Navarro-Julian shall be subject to the following PROBATIONARY terms, conditions, and limitations for at least five years.
1. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in the state in which she is practicing.
 2. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall appear in person for interviews before the full Board or its designated representative within three months of the reinstatement of her certificate and upon her request for termination of the probationary period, or as otherwise requested by the Board.
 3. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall submit quarterly declarations, under the penalty of Board disciplinary action or criminal prosecution, stating whether she has complied with all the terms and conditions of her probation in this State and with all terms, conditions, or limitations imposed by any other state medical board. Moreover, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall cause to be submitted to the Board copies of the quarterly reports that she submits to the California Board for so long a time as the California Board requires such submission.
 4. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall notify the Board of any action in any state taken against a certificate to practice medicine held by Dr. Navarro-Julian in that state. Moreover, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall provide acceptable documentation verifying the same.
 5. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall immediately notify the Board in writing should she fail to comply with any term, condition, or limitation of her probation or with any term, condition, or limitation imposed by any other state medical board.
 6. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall immediately notify the Board in writing of any modification or change to any term, condition, or limitation imposed by any other state medical board.


7. Upon submitting renewal applications for each Ohio biennial registration period occurring during the period of probation, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall also submit acceptable documentation of Category I Continuing Medical Education credits completed. At least twenty hours of such Continuing Medical Education for each registration period, to be approved in advance by the Board or its designee, shall relate to the violations found in this matter.
8. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall refrain from commencing practice in Ohio without prior written Board approval. Moreover, should she commence practice in Ohio, the Board may place Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate under additional terms, conditions, or limitations, including the following:
 - a. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in Ohio.
 - b. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall appear in person for interviews before the full Board or its designated representative at three month intervals, or as otherwise requested by the Board.
 - c. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall submit to the Board and receive its approval for a plan of practice in Ohio which, unless and until otherwise determined by the Board, shall be limited to a supervised structured environment in which Dr. Navarro-Julian's activities will be directly supervised and overseen by another physician approved by the Board.
 - d. Within thirty days of commencement of practice in Ohio, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall submit for the Board's prior approval the name of a monitoring physician, who shall review Dr. Navarro-Julian's patient charts and shall submit a written report of such review to the Board on a quarterly basis. Such chart review may be done on a random basis, with the number of charts reviewed to be determined by the Board. It shall be Dr. Navarro-Julian's responsibility to ensure that the monitoring physician's quarterly reports are submitted to the Board on a timely basis. If the approved monitoring physician becomes unable or unwilling to serve, Dr. Navarro-Julian shall immediately notify the Board in writing and shall arrange another monitoring physician as soon as practicable.
 - e. Dr. Navarro-Julian shall provide a copy of this Order to all employers and the Chief of Staff at each hospital where she has, applies for, or obtains privileges.
 - f. In the event that Dr. Navarro-Julian has not been engaged in the active practice of medicine and surgery for a period in excess of two years prior

95 DEC 12 PM 2:47

to commencement of practice in Ohio, the Board may exercise its discretion under Section 4731.222, Ohio Revised Code, to require additional evidence of Dr. Navarro-Julian's fitness to resume practice.

9. If the California Board should terminate Dr. Navarro-Julian's probationary terms, conditions, and limitation before Dr. Navarro-Julian completes a five year probationary period in that state, the Board may place Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate under additional terms, conditions, or limitations as set forth in paragraph 8, above.
 10. If Dr. Navarro-Julian violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Dr. Navarro-Julian notice and the opportunity to be heard, may set aside the stay order and impose the permanent revocation of Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate to practice.
- D. Upon successful completion of probation, as evidenced by a written release from the Board, Dr. Navarro-Julian's certificate will be fully restored.

This Order shall become effective immediately upon the mailing of notification of approval by the State Medical Board.


Sharon W. Murphy
Attorney Hearing Examiner



STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

77 South High Street, 17th Floor • Columbus, Ohio 43266-0315 • (614) 466-3934

EXCERPT FROM THE DRAFT MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 14, 1995

REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Dr. Stienecker announced that the Board would now consider the findings and orders appearing on the Board's agenda.

Dr. Stienecker asked whether each member of the Board had received, read, and considered the hearing record, the proposed findings, conclusions, and orders, and any objections filed in the matters of: William H. Allen, Jr., M.D.; Carolyn T. Beyer, D.O.; John B. Gardiner, D.O.; Stephen W. Gilreath, M.D.; Alexander D. Hassard, M.D.; Neal E. Holleran, M.D.; Peter M. Ilievski, M.D.; James L. Kegler, M.D.; Albert S. Miller, M.D.; Venus Navarro-Julian, M.D.; Moorthy S. Ram, M.D.; Ronald J. Richter, M.D.; Arvind M. Talati, M.D.; and Stephen J. Weiss, M.D.

A roll call was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Albert	- aye
	Dr. Bhati	- aye
	Dr. Gretter	- aye
	Dr. Egner	- aye
	Dr. Agresta	- aye
	Dr. Buchan	- aye
	Ms. Noble	- aye
	Mr. Sinnott	- aye
	Dr. Garg	- aye
	Dr. Steinbergh	- aye
	Dr. Stienecker	- aye

Dr. Stienecker asked whether each member of the Board understands that the disciplinary guidelines do not limit any sanction to be imposed, and that the range of sanctions available in each matter runs from dismissal to permanent revocation. A roll call was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Albert	- aye
	Dr. Bhati	- aye
	Dr. Gretter	- aye
	Dr. Egner	- aye
	Dr. Agresta	- aye
	Dr. Buchan	- aye
	Ms. Noble	- aye

Mr. Sinnott	- aye
Dr. Garg	- aye
Dr. Steinbergh	- aye
Dr. Stienecker	- aye

In accordance with the provision in Section 4731.22(C)(1), Revised Code, specifying that no member of the Board who supervises the investigation of a case shall participate in further adjudication of the case, the Secretary and Supervising Member must abstain from further participation in the adjudication of this matter.

The original Reports and Recommendations shall be maintained in the exhibits section of this Journal.

.....
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION IN THE MATTER OF VENUS NAVARRO-JULIAN, M.D.

.....
DR. BHATI MOVED TO APPROVE AND CONFIRM MS. MURPHY'S PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS, AND ORDER IN THE MATTER OF VENUS NAVARRO-JULIAN, M.D. DR. AGRESTA SECONDED THE MOTION.

.....
A vote was taken on Dr. Bhati's motion:

VOTE:	Mr. Albert	- abstain
	Dr. Bhati	- aye
	Dr. Gretter	- abstain
	Dr. Egner	- aye
	Dr. Agresta	- aye
	Dr. Buchan	- aye
	Ms. Noble	- aye
	Mr. Sinnott	- aye
	Dr. Garg	- aye
	Dr. Steinbergh	- aye

The motion carried.



STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

77 South High Street, 17th Floor • Columbus, Ohio 43260-0313 • (614) 466-3934

September 6, 1995

Venus F. Navarro-Julian, M.D.
11929 Louise Avenue
Granada Hills, CA 91344

Dear Dr. Navarro-Julian:

In accordance with Chapter 119., Ohio Revised Code, you are hereby notified that the State Medical Board of Ohio intends to determine whether or not to limit, revoke, suspend, refuse to register or reinstate your certificate to practice medicine and surgery, or to reprimand or place you on probation for one or more of the following reasons:

- (1) On or about May 23, 1994, the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California adopted the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (a copy of which is attached hereto and fully incorporated herein) as its Decision, by which you were suspended from the practice of medicine for thirty days and subsequently placed on probation for five years. This action was based on your "acts of gross negligence (which) contributed to the death of a patient."

The Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order as alleged in paragraph (1) above, individually and/or collectively, constitute "(t)he limitation, revocation, or suspension by another state of a license or certificate to practice issued by the proper licensing authority of that state, the refusal to license, register, or reinstate an applicant by that authority, or the imposition of probation by that authority, for an action that also would have been a violation of this chapter, except for nonpayment of fees," as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(22), Ohio Revised Code, to wit: Section 4731.22 (B)(6), Ohio Revised Code.

Pursuant to Chapter 119., Ohio Revised Code, you are hereby advised that you are entitled to a hearing in this matter. If you wish to request such hearing, the request must be made in writing and must be received in the offices of the State Medical Board within thirty (30) days of the time of mailing of this notice.

You are further advised that you are entitled to appear at such hearing in person, or by your attorney, or by such other representative as is permitted to practice before this agency, or you may present your position, arguments, or contentions in writing, and that at the hearing you may present evidence and examine witnesses appearing for or against you.

Mailed 9/7/95

September 6, 1995

Venus F. Navarro-Julian, M.D.

Page 2

In the event that there is no request for such hearing received within thirty (30) days of the time of mailing of this notice, the State Medical Board may, in your absence and upon consideration of this matter, determine whether or not to limit, revoke, suspend, refuse to register or reinstate your certificate to practice medicine and surgery or to reprimand or place you on probation.

Copies of the applicable sections are enclosed for your information.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "T. E. Gretter, M.D.", written in dark ink.

Thomas E. Gretter, M.D.
Secretary

TEG/bjm
Enclosures

CERTIFIED MAIL # P 348 886 945
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

rev.2/15/95

ORDER

The attached Stipulation in case number D-5021 is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, as its Decision in the above-entitled matter and shall become effective on 22nd day of June, 1994.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 23rd day of May, 1994.

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

By: Theresa L. Claassen
THERESA L. CLAASSEN
Division of Medical Quality

1 DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
of the State of California
2 ROSA M. MOSLEY,
Deputy Attorney General
3 California Department of Justice
300 South Spring Street, 10th Floor-North
4 Los Angeles, California 90013-1204
Telephone: (213) 897-2567

5 Attorneys for Complainant
6

7 **BEFORE THE**
8 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY**
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. D-5021
Against:) OAH No. L-60799
12)
13 VENUS NAVARRO JULIAN, M.D.) STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
6900 Van Nuys Blvd., Suite 1) DISCIPLINARY ORDER
Van Nuys, CA 91405)
14)
15 Physician's and Surgeon's)
Certificate No. A41783)
16 Respondent.)

17 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN** the parties
18 to the above-entitled matter that the following matters are true:
19 1. On or about June 6, 1985, Physician's and Surgeon's
20 Certificate No A41783 was issued to VENUS NAVARRO JULIAN, M.D.
21 (hereinafter "respondent"). Said certificate was in full force and
22 effect at all times relevant hereto and is in good standing with
23 the Board.
24 2. Accusation No. D-5021 is currently pending against
25 the above-captioned respondent before the Division of Medical
26 Quality. On or about November 6, 1992, said Accusation was filed
27

1 by Kenneth S. Wagstaff in his official capacity as Executive
2 Director of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter, "Board").

3 3. On or about November 9, 1992, respondent herein was
4 duly and properly served with the accusation and all required
5 related documents at her address of record. Respondent thereafter
6 on or about November 20, 1992 filed a timely Notice of Defense,
7 generally denying the allegations contained in the accusation and
8 requesting a hearing on said charges and allegations.

9 4. Respondent is represented by Jeffery W. Grass,
10 Attorney at Law. The Board is represented by its attorney Daniel
11 E. Lungren, the Attorney General of the State of California, by
12 Rosa M. Mosley, Deputy Attorney General.

13 5. All admissions and characterizations of issues of
14 law and fact set forth in this stipulation are solely for this and
15 any future proceedings between the parties. In the event this
16 stipulation is not adopted by the Board, all such admissions shall
17 have no force or effect and this stipulation shall be deemed null
18 and void.

19 6. Respondent has consulted with her attorney regarding
20 the effects of entering into this stipulation. Respondent has read
21 and understands, the accusation, this stipulation, decision, and
22 order, and further understands that she has a right to a hearing
23 on the charges contained in accusation No. D-5021. Respondent
24 understands that she has a right to cross-examine witnesses,
25 introduce evidence in defense and mitigation, and the right to
26 appeal or reconsideration as provided in the Administrative
27 Procedure Act. Respondent knowingly and intelligently waives these
rights and agrees to be bound by the terms of the within

1 stipulation, decision and order. Respondent understands that under
2 its terms, her license as a physician and surgeon in the State of
3 California is to be disciplined through a revocation of license,
4 stayed, and that the license will be placed on probationary status
5 with the Medical Board of California pursuant to the terms and
6 conditions set forth herein.

7 7. For purposes of this stipulation only, respondent
8 admits as being true the following facts, which have previously
9 been set forth as allegations made in accusation No. D-5021.

10 8. Respondent herein knowingly, intelligently and with
11 the advice and concurrence of counsel waives and gives up each of
12 the enumerated rights and agrees that the pending accusation
13 matters may be resolved pursuant to the instant stipulation.

14 FACTUAL STIPULATIONS

15 9. For purposes of resolution of the pending matter,
16 it is stipulated and agreed that the following facts are true:

17 A. The facts and allegations as contained in paragraphs
18 7, 8 and 9 of Accusation No. D-5021, including any
19 subparagraphs therein.

20
21 STIPULATED DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

22 10. It is stipulated and agreed that the conduct set
23 forth hereinabove constitutes unprofessional conduct and
24 constitutes cause for disciplinary action for violation of sections
25 2234 (b), (c), and (d) of the Business and Professions Code.

26 11. Based on the foregoing stipulations, admissions, and
27 waivers it is agreed that the Board may issue the following order.

//

1 C. COMMUNITY SERVICE -- FREE SERVICES. Within 60
2 days of taking and passing an oral competency
3 examination, respondent shall submit to the Division for
4 its prior approval a community service program in which
5 respondent shall provide free medical services on a
6 regular basis to a community or charitable facility or
7 agency for at least 10 hours a month for the first 15
8 months of probation for total of 150 hours.

9 D. CONTINUING EDUCATION. Within 90 days of
10 the effective date of this decision, and on an
11 annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to
12 the Division for its prior approval an educational
13 program or course to be designated by the Division,
14 which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for
15 each year of probation. This program shall be in
16 addition to the Continuing Medical Education
17 requirement for re-licensure. Following the
18 completion of each course, the Division or its
19 designee may administer an examination to test
20 respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent
21 shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of
22 continuing medical education of which 40 hours were
23 in satisfaction of this condition and were approved
24 in advance by the Division.

25 //

26 //

27 ; //

1 E. **ETHICS COURSE.** Respondent shall take and pass
2 a course in ethics within the first year of probation,
3 said course to be approved by the Division within 60 days
4 of the effective date of this decision.

5 F. **OBEY ALL LAWS.** During the period of probation,
6 respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws,
7 and all rules governing the practice of medicine in
8 California.

9 G. **QUARTERLY REPORTS.** During the period of
10 probation, respondent shall file quarterly reports under
11 penalty of perjury on forms available from the Division,
12 stating whether there has been compliance with all the
13 terms and conditions of probation.

14 H. **SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM.** Respondent shall comply
15 with the Division's probation surveillance program.

16 I. **INTERVIEW WITH MEDICAL CONSULTANT.** Respondent
17 shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's
18 medical consultant upon request at various intervals and
19 with reasonable notice.

20 J. **TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE OR**
21 **RESIDENCE.** In the event respondent shall
22 reside or practice outside the State of California during
23 the period of probation, respondent shall immediately
24 notify the Division in writing of the date of departure,
25 and the date of return, if any. The period for probation
26 shall not run during the time respondent is residing or
27 practicing outside the jurisdiction of California and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

said time shall not count toward the reduction of the period of probation.

K. COMPLETION OF PROBATION. Upon the successful completion of probation by the respondent as set forth hereinabove, respondent's license shall be fully restored.

L. VIOLATION OF PROBATION. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

ACCEPTANCE OF STIPULATION

I am the respondent herein. I have read the stipulation, decision and order. I understand that I have a right to a hearing on the charges contained in Accusation No. D-5021.

I understand that I have a right to cross-examine witnesses, introduce evidence in defense and mitigation, and the right to appeal or reconsideration as provided in the Administrative Procedure Act. I have discussed the charges with counsel, as well as any rights of hearing and defense and other rights under the Administrative Procedure Act. I knowingly and intelligently waive these rights and agree to be bound by the terms of the within stipulation, decision, and order. I understand that

1 under its terms, my license as a physician and surgeon is to be
2 disciplined, and that I will be placed upon probationary status
3 with the Medical Board of California.

4
5 DATED: 12-13-93

6
7 
8 VENUS NAVARRO JULIAN, M.D.
9 Respondent

10 APPROVED AS TO FORM

11 DATED: 12-10-93

12
13 
14 JEFFERY W. GRASS
15 Attorney for Respondent

16 This stipulation is submitted to the Medical Board of California,
17 Division of Medical Quality, for consideration as its decision in
18 case No. D-5021.

19 DATED: 12-13-93

20 DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
21 of the State of California

22 By 
23 ROSA M. MOSLEY
24 Deputy Attorney General

25 Attorneys for the Complainant
26 Dixon Arnett, Executive Director
27 Division of Medical Quality
The Medical Board of California

1 DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
 of the State of California
 2 ROSA M. MOSLEY,
 Deputy Attorney General
 3 California Department of Justice
 300 South Spring Street, 10th Floor-North
 4 Los Angeles, California 90013-1204
 Telephone: (213) 897-2567
 5 Attorneys for Complainant

Law
for Complainant

7 **BEFORE THE**
 8 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
 9 **DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY**
 10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
 11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. D-5021
 Against:)
 12)
 13 VENUS NAVARRO JULIAN, M.D.) A C C U S A T I O N
 6900 Van Nuys Blvd, Suite 1)
 Van Nuys, CA 91405)
 14)
 15 Physician's and Surgeon's)
 Certificate No. A41783)
 16 Respondent.)

17 The Complainant alleges:

18 PARTIES

19 1. Complainant, Kenneth J. Wagstaff, is the Executive
 20 Director of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the
 21 "Board") and brings this accusation solely in his official
 22 capacity.

23 2. On or about June 6, 1985, Physician's and Surgeon's
 24 Certificate No. A41783 was issued by the Board to Venus Navarro
 25 Julian, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"), and at all times
 26 relevant to the charges brought herein, said license has been in
 27 ;

1 full force and effect.

2 JURISDICTION

3 3. This accusation is brought under the authority of
4 the following sections of the California Business and Professions
5 Code (hereinafter the "Code"):

6 4. Sections 2003 and 2004 of the Code provide, in
7 pertinent part, that the Division of Medical Quality (hereinafter
8 the "Division") within the Medical Board of California is
9 responsible for the enforcement of the disciplinary provisions of
10 the Medical Practice Act, for the administration and hearing of
11 disciplinary actions, for carrying out disciplinary actions
12 appropriate to findings made by a medical quality review
13 committee, the division or an administrative law judge, and for
14 suspending, revoking or otherwise limiting certificates after the
15 conclusion of disciplinary actions.

16 5. Section 2227 provides that the Board may revoke,
17 suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on
18 probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty
19 under the Medical Practice Act.

20 6 Section 2234 provides that unprofessional conduct
21 includes, but is not limited to, the following:

22 "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or
23 indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or
24 conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.

25 (b) Gross negligence.

26 (c) Repeated negligent acts.

27 (d) Incompetence.

1 (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or
2 corruption which is substantially related to the
3 qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and
4 surgeon.

5 (f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted
6 the denial of a certificate."

7 CAUSES OF ACTION

8 I

9 GROSS NEGLIGENCE

10 7. Respondent Julian is subject to disciplinary action
11 under section 2234(b) of the Code in that she committed acts of
12 gross negligence in her treatment and management of patient
13 Mariscela Mandujano. The respondent's acts of gross negligence
14 contributed to the death of the patient. The circumstances are
15 as follows:

16 A. Preliminary Factual Allegations

17 (1) On or about March, 1988 thirty-three year old
18 patient Mariscela Mandujano presented herself to the
19 clinic of respondent Julian for pregnancy testing. The
20 patient tested positive. Said patient had eight
21 prenatal visits to respondent Julian's clinic between
22 March, 1988 and November 1, 1988.

23 (2) On or about November 1, 1988, clinic records
24 indicate that the patient had a significant weight gain
25 of approximately nine pounds within the two weeks prior
26 and that her blood pressure was somewhat elevated to
27 140/88.;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

(3) On or about November 2, 1988 at around 11:30 PM, said patient presented herself to the emergency room of the Valley Presbyterian Hospital in Van Nuys, California moaning constantly and complaining of epigastric pain and mild irregular uterine contractions.

(4) Said patient was approximately 33 to 38 weeks along in her pregnancy.

(5) Upon arrival at the hospital, the patient's blood pressure was elevated to 180/108 and fluctuated within the next several hours to as high as 190/108. A clear prior history of the patient's blood pressure could not be obtained because she was Spanish-speaking and not able to communicate effectively through her family members who were present.

(6) At 1:15 AM on November 3, 1988, approximately one hour and forty-five minutes after the patient was admitted to the hospital, respondent Julian telephoned and gave orders for treatment of the patient.

(7) Respondent Julian did not order anti-hypertension medication for the patient.

(8) Respondent Julian did not order the patient's blood to be analyzed for liver enzymes.

(9) At approximately 7:00 AM respondent ordered by way of telephone that the patient be given oral Aldomet, after respondent was notified of the patient's

1 elevated blood pressure. The patient had not been
2 examined by a physician at this time.

3 (10) Respondent Julian did not consult with a
4 perinatologist, a specialist in the diagnosis and
5 treatment of disorders during pregnancy. Instead
6 respondent consulted with an internist who examined the
7 patient at approximately 8:30 AM on November 3, 1988.

8 (11) The "Twenty-Four Hour Nursing Record" from
9 the Valley Presbyterian Hospital indicates that on
10 November 3, 1988, the respondent arrived to see the
11 patient at 10:00 AM, some 10 1/2 hours after the
12 patient was admitted to the emergency room.

13 (12) At approximately 10:45 AM on 11-3-88, the
14 internist ordered the patient transferred to ICU and
15 then suggested a consultation with a perinatologist.

16 (13) At approximately 10:55 AM on 11-3-88,
17 respondent was notified that the patient was
18 unresponsive to verbal and tactile stimuli and moved
19 away from painful stimuli. Respondent was also
20 notified of the decisions of the internist.

21 (14) At approximately 11:00 AM on 11-3-88, the
22 perinatologist was notified of the patient's condition
23 and suggested an immediate Cesarean Section be done on
24 patient.

25 (15) At approximately 11:15 AM respondent ordered
26 that the patient be prepared for a Cesarean Section.

27 (16) At approximately 12:27 AM on the morning of

1 11-4-88, the patient gave birth to a live baby girl.

2 (17) After the surgery, the patient continued to
3 be unresponsive to verbal, tactile and painful stimuli
4 and was suffering from coagulopathy, a disorder that
5 makes it difficult for the blood to coagulate.

6 (18) The patient died at 8:20 AM on November 4,
7 1988.

8 (19) Respondent Julian stated that she saw the
9 patient for prenatal care, but did not remember the
10 patient when she came to the Valley Presbyterian
11 Hospital.

12 (20) Respondent Julian stated that she did not
13 remember if the patient was hypertensive during her
14 prenatal visits.

15 (21) Respondent Julian stated that she did not
16 come to the hospital immediately because the emergency
17 room nurse did not think the patient was sick.

18 (22) Respondent Julian stated that she did not
19 come to the hospital immediately because the nurse told
20 her that the patient's blood pressure was "okay".

21 (23) Respondent Julian stated that she left the
22 patient in the emergency room to go retrieve the
23 patient's file from her clinic.

24 B. Allegations of Gross Negligence

25 (1) On or about November 1, 1988, Respondent
26 Julian failed to properly evaluate and treat the
27 patient by not ordering bed-rest, a low sodium diet and

1 re-evaluation within 24-48 hours when the patient
2 showed a significant weight gain and elevated blood
3 pressure when the patient presented herself at the
4 respondent's clinic at an estimated 33 to 38 weeks into
5 patient's pregnancy.

6 (2) On the 11-1-88 visit by the patient,
7 Respondent failed to recognize the signs of impending
8 pregnancy induced hypertension.

9 (3) On 11-3-88, respondent failed to examine and
10 evaluate the patient within a reasonable time after
11 notification that the patient was in the emergency
12 room, complaining of epigastric pain and registering an
13 elevated blood pressure. Respondent Julian did not
14 visit the patient until over eight to ten hours after
15 the patient was admitted to the hospital.

16 (4) Respondent Julian failed to have another
17 physician examine the patient until after the patient
18 had been hospitalized for over eight hours.

19 (5) Respondent failed to give the emergency room
20 nurses adequate orders on what to report to respondent
21 and at what intervals to report.

22 (6) Respondent failed in her duties as a
23 physician by substantially relying on the emergency
24 room nurses to evaluate and manage the condition of the
25 patient.

26 (7) Respondent failed to monitor the patient and
27 failed to order the appropriate blood tests while the

1 patient was being treated in the emergency room on
2 November 3, 1988.

3 (8) Respondent failed to order the proper
4 medication for the patient who was showing signs of
5 suffering from pregnancy induced hypertension.
6 Respondent's telephonic order of oral Aldomet was
7 incorrect.

8 (9) Respondent failed to order the emergency room
9 nurses to monitor the blood pressure of the patient at
10 intervals so that respondent could determine the effect
11 of the Aldomet.

12 (10) Respondent failed to consult with a
13 perinatologist in a timely manner. Such failure
14 delayed the appropriate management of the patient with
15 pregnancy induced hypertension.

16 (11) Respondent was negligent in leaving her
17 patient to go to the clinic to retrieve the patient's
18 medical records. This constitutes a failure to
19 adequately monitor a patient with signs and symptoms of
20 a rapidly deteriorating pregnancy induced hypertension.

21 II

22 REPEATED NEGLIGENT ACTS

23 8. Respondent Julian is subject to disciplinary action
24 under Section 2234(c) of the Code in that she committed repeated
25 negligent acts in the treatment and management of patient
26 Mariscela Mandujano and such repeated negligent act contributed
27 to the patient's death. The circumstances are as follows:

