



STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

77 South High Street, 17th Floor • Columbus, Ohio 43266-0315 • (614) 466-3934

November 10, 1995

Guy Dean Reed, D.O.
6109 East Admiral Place
Tulsa, OK 74155

Dear Doctor Reed:

Please find enclosed a certified copy of the Findings, Order and Journal Entry approved and confirmed by the State Medical Board meeting in regular session on November 8, 1995.

Section 119.12, Ohio Revised Code, may authorize an appeal from this Order. Such an appeal may be taken to the Franklin County Court of Common Pleas only.

Such an appeal setting forth the Order appealed from and the grounds of the appeal must be commenced by the filing of a Notice of Appeal with the State Medical Board of Ohio and the Franklin County Court of Common Pleas within fifteen (15) days after the mailing of this notice and in accordance with the requirements of Section 119.12 of the Ohio Revised Code.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "T. E. Gretter".

Thomas E. Gretter, M.D.
Secretary

TEG:em
Enclosures

CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT NO. P 348 887 163
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mailed 11-21-95



STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

77 South High Street, 17th Floor • Columbus, Ohio 43266-0315 • (614) 466-3934

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the attached copy of the Findings, Order and Journal Entry, approved by the State Medical Board, meeting in regular session on November 8, 1995, constitute a true and complete copy of the Findings, Order and Journal Entry in the matter of Guy Dean Reed, D.O., as it appears in the Journal of the State Medical Board of Ohio.

This certification is made by authority of the State Medical Board of Ohio and in its behalf.

(SEAL)

Thomas E. Gretter, M.D.
Secretary

11/8/95

Date

BEFORE THE STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF

*

*

GUY DEAN REED, D.O.

*

FINDINGS, ORDER AND JOURNAL ENTRY

This matter came on for consideration after a citation letter was mailed to Guy Dean Reed, D.O., by the State Medical Board of Ohio on September 7, 1995.

By letter dated September 6, 1995, notice was given to Guy Dean Reed, D.O., that the State Medical Board intended to consider disciplinary action regarding his license to practice osteopathic medicine and surgery in Ohio, and that he was entitled to a hearing if such hearing was requested within thirty (30) days of the mailing of said notice. In accordance with Section 119.09, Ohio Revised Code, said notice was sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, to the last known address of record of Guy Dean Reed, D.O., that being 6109 E. Admiral Place, Tulsa, OK, 74155.

No hearing request has been received from Guy Dean Reed, D.O., and more than thirty (30) days have now elapsed since the mailing of the aforesaid notice.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons outlined in the September 6, 1995 letter of notice, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein, it is hereby ORDERED that the license of Guy Dean Reed, D.O., to practice osteopathic medicine and surgery in the State of Ohio be _____
PERMANENTLY REVOKED

This Order shall become effective November 8, 1995

This Order is hereby entered upon the Journal of the State Medical Board of Ohio for the 8th day of November, 1995, and the original thereof shall be kept with said Journal.



Thomas E. Greter, M.D.
Secretary

(SEAL)

11/18/95

Date


AFFIDAVIT

I, Debra Jones, being duly cautioned and sworn, do hereby depose and say:

- 1) That I am employed by the State Medical Board of Ohio (hereinafter, "The Board")
- 2) That I serve the Board in the position of Chief, Continuing Medical Education, Records, and Renewal;
- 3) That in such position I am the responsible custodian of all public licensee records maintained by the Board pertaining to individuals who have received certificates issued pursuant to Chapter 4731., Ohio Revised Code;
- 4) That I have this day carefully examined the records of the Board pertaining to Guy Dean Reed, D.O.;
- 5) That based on such examination, I have found the last known address of record of Guy Dean Reed, D.O., to be:

6109 E. Admiral Place
Tulsa, OK 74155

- 6) Further, Affiant Sayeth Naught.



Debra L. Jones, Chief
Continuing Medical Education,
Records and Renewal

Sworn to and signed before me, Lauren Lubow, Notary
Public, this 25th day of October, 1995



Notary Public

LAUREN LUBOW, Attorney At Law
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF OHIO
My commission has no expiration date.
Section 147.03 R.C.



STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

77 South High Street, 17th Floor • Columbus, Ohio 43266-0315 • (614) 466-3934

September 6, 1995

Guy Dean Reed, D.O.
6109 East Admiral Place
Tulsa, OK 74155

Dear Doctor Reed:

In accordance with Chapter 119., Ohio Revised Code, you are hereby notified that the State Medical Board of Ohio intends to determine whether or not to limit, revoke, suspend, refuse to register or reinstate your certificate to practice osteopathic medicine and surgery, or to reprimand or place you on probation for one or more of the following reasons:

- (1) On or about June 30, 1994, the State Board of Osteopathic Examiners, State of Oklahoma, revoked your license to practice osteopathic medicine within the State of Oklahoma. The basis for this action included, but was not limited to, your failure to keep accurate records of controlled substances, your failure to account for the administration and/or dispensing of controlled substances for which you had sole access and possession, and excessive and/or inappropriate prescribing of controlled substances. A copy of the Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Order is attached hereto and fully incorporated herein.

The Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, as alleged in paragraph (1) above, individually and/or collectively, constitutes "(t)he limitation, revocation, or suspension by another state of a license or certificate to practice issued by the proper licensing authority of that state, the refusal to license, register, or reinstate an applicant by that authority, or the imposition of probation by that authority, for an action that also would have been a violation of this chapter, except for nonpayment of fees," as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(22), Ohio Revised Code, to wit: Section 4731.22 (B)(2), (B)(3), (B)(6), and (B)(12), Ohio Revised Code, to wit: Section 3719.07, Ohio Revised Code, Records of Controlled Substances.

Further, the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, as alleged in paragraph (1) above, individually and/or collectively, constitutes "(t)he limitation, revocation, or suspension by another state of a license or certificate to practice issued by the proper licensing authority of that state, the refusal to license, register, or reinstate an applicant by that authority, or the imposition of probation by that authority, for an action that also

Mailed 9/7/95

would have been a violation of this chapter, except for nonpayment of fees," as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(22), Ohio Revised Code, to wit: Rules 4731-11-02(A) and (D); and 4731-11-02(E), Ohio Administrative Code, to wit: 21 U.S.C.S. sec. 827 (1994). Pursuant to Rule 4731-11-02(F), Ohio Administrative Code, violation of Rules 4731-11-02(A), (D) or (E), Ohio Administrative Code, also violates Sections 4731.22(B)(2) and (6), Ohio Revised Code.

Further, the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, as alleged in paragraph (1) above, individually and/or collectively, constitutes "(t)he limitation, revocation, or suspension by another state of a license or certificate to practice issued by the proper licensing authority of that state, the refusal to license, register, or reinstate an applicant by that authority, or the imposition of probation by that authority, for an action that also would have been a violation of this chapter, except for nonpayment of fees," as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(22), Ohio Revised Code, to wit: Section 4731.22 (B)(20), Ohio Revised Code, to wit: Rule 4731-11-02(C), Ohio Administrative Code. Pursuant to Rule 4731-11-02(F), Ohio Administrative Code, violation of Rule 4731-11-02(C), Ohio Administrative Code, also violates Sections 4731.22(B)(2) and (6) and further, if such violation is committed purposely, knowingly or recklessly, it also constitutes a violation of Section 4731.22(B)(3), Ohio Revised Code.

- (2) On or about January 25, 1995, the State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts, State of Missouri, revoked your license to practice the healing arts within the State of Missouri. This action was based upon the action taken against you by the State of Oklahoma, State Board of Osteopathic Examiners. A copy of the Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disciplinary Order is attached hereto and fully incorporated herein.

The Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disciplinary Order, as alleged in paragraph (2) above, individually and/or collectively, constitutes "(t)he limitation, revocation, or suspension by another state of a license or certificate to practice issued by the proper licensing authority of that state, the refusal to license, register, or reinstate an applicant by that authority, or the imposition of probation by that authority, for an action that also would have been a violation of this chapter, except for nonpayment of fees," as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(22), Ohio Revised Code, to wit: Section 4731.22 (B)(2), (B)(3), and (B)(6).

Pursuant to Chapter 119., Ohio Revised Code, you are hereby advised that you are entitled to a hearing in this matter. If you wish to request such hearing, the request must be made in writing and must be received in the offices of the State Medical Board within thirty (30) days of the time of mailing of this notice.

September 6, 1995

Guy Dean Reed, D.O.


Page 3

You are further advised that you are entitled to appear at such hearing in person, or by your attorney, or by such other representative as is permitted to practice before this agency, or you may present your position, arguments, or contentions in writing, and that at the hearing you may present evidence and examine witnesses appearing for or against you.

In the event that there is no request for such hearing received within thirty (30) days of the time of mailing of this notice, the State Medical Board may, in your absence and upon consideration of this matter, determine whether or not to limit, revoke, suspend, refuse to register or reinstate your certificate to practice osteopathic medicine and surgery or to reprimand or place you on probation.

Copies of the applicable sections are enclosed for your information.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'T. E. Gretter', written in a cursive style.

Thomas E. Gretter, M.D.
Secretary

TEG/bjm

Enclosures

CERTIFIED MAIL # P 348 886 941
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

IN AND BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC)	
EXAMINERS,)	
STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 93-01-001
)	
GUY D. REED, D.O.,)	
OSTEOPATHIC LICENSE NO. 1021,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
AND ORDER

This matter came before the State Board of Osteopathic Examiners ("Board") on a hearing held before the Board of June 16, 1994. The Respondent, Guy D. Reed, D.O. ("Reed"), appeared in person before the Board and was represented by counsel, Dan Rogers. The special prosecutor for the Board, Richard A. Mildren, appeared on behalf of the Petitioner. After reviewing the exhibits submitted and listening to the testimony of the witnesses, the Board finds and adopts the following Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Reed is licensed to practice osteopathic medicine in the State of Oklahoma, License Number 1021. Said license was in good standing as of the date of said hearing.
2. Between February 11, 1993 and January 27, 1994, Reed failed to keep complete and accurate records of controlled dangerous substances. Furthermore, Reed

JUL 25 11 30 AM '94

was unable to account for the administering and/or dispensing of the following controlled substances for which he had sole access and possession:

Substance	Difference	% Unaccounted
Meperidine 100mg/ml	-414 dosage units	-34%
Nembutal 100mg/ml	- 11 dosage units	-11%
Nembutal 100s (tablets)	-405 dosage units	-57%
Talwin 30 mg/ml	-333 dosage units	-82%
Diazepam 10 mg (tablets)	- 21 dosage units	- 2%

3. Reed examined his weight-control patient on the initial visit. After this initial visit, Reed did not see the patient unless the patient requested to see him. Patients were dispensed controlled dangerous substances at each such visit.

4. One member of Reed's staff was allowed to change the dosage of weight control medication without the patient being examined by Reed or without Reed ordering such change.

5. That between March 1, 1993 and December 3, 1993, Patient #1 (M.H.) received Phentermine 37.5mg. and Phentermine 30mg. from staff without seeing Respondent.

6. That between January 12, 1993 and January 17, 1994, Patient #2 (D.S.) received Phentermine 30mg. and Phentermine 37.5mg. without a valid physician/patient relationship.

7. That between January 11, 1993 and December 30, 1993, Patient #3 (G.K.) received Tenuate 75mg., Phentermine 105mg., and Restoril 30mg. which was an excessive and inappropriate dispensing of controlled drugs.

STATE BOARD OF
PHYSICIANS
JAN 25 PM 3:05

8. That between January 5, 1993 and November 23, 1993, Patient #4 (B.B.) received Halcion .25mg., Xanax 1mg., Meperidine 100mg/ml. and Vicodine 5mg., from Respondent. This dispensing and administering was not for legitimate medical need and was inappropriate and excessive dispensing and administering of controlled drugs.

9. That between January 22, 1993 and January 5, 1994, Patient #5 (D.D.) received Phentermine 30 mg., Phendimetrazine 105mg., and Xanax .5mg. from Respondent without legitimate medical need. This dispensing of controlled drugs was inappropriate and excessive.

10. That between May 6, 1993 and January 14, 1994, Patient #6 (T.M.) received prescriptions for Placidyl 500mg., Valium 10mg., from Respondent and was dispensed Phentermine 37.5mg., by Respondent. This prescribing and dispensing of controlled drugs by Respondent was excessive and inappropriate and without valid medical need.

11. That between January 14, 1993 and January 12, 1994, Patient #7 (B.M.) was dispensed Halcion .25mg., Vicodin 5mg., Xanax .5mg., Phendimetrazine 105mg., and Acetaminophen with Codeine by Respondent. This dispensing of controlled drugs by Respondent was excessive and inappropriate and without valid medical need.

12. That between January 4, 1993 and January 4, 1994, Patient #8 (J.D.R.) received prescriptions for Valium 10mg., Placidyl 500mg., and Tylenol #4 from Respondent and was administered Meperidine 100mg/ml by Respondent without valid medical need. This prescribing and administering of controlled drugs by Respondent was inappropriate and excessive.

5-11-14 11:00 AM
J. D. R.
5-11-14 11:05 AM

13. That between January 7, 1993 and December 30, 1993, Patient #9 (C.S.) received prescriptions for Fiorinal, Halcion .25mg., and Vicodin 7.5mg., from Respondent and was dispensed Xanax 1mg. and Tussionex by Respondent. Patient #9 also received Meperidine 100mg/ml from Respondent. This prescribing, dispensing, and administering of controlled drugs by Respondent was excessive and inappropriate and not for valid medical need.

14. That between January 18, 1993 and October 19, 1993, Patient #10 (M.Y.) received prescriptions for Valium 10mg. from Respondent and was dispensed Acetaminophen with Codeine by Respondent. Patient #10 was also administered Meperidine 100mg/ml by Respondent. This prescribing, dispensing, and administering of controlled drugs by Respondent was inappropriate and excessive and was without valid medical need.

15. That between December 12, 1993 and January 10, 1994, Patient #11 (M.M.) was dispensed Nembutal 100mg., Valium 10mg., Vicodin 7.5mg., Phentermine 37.5mg., Talwin, and Restoril 30mg., by Respondent. This dispensing of controlled drugs by Respondent was inappropriate and excessive and was without valid medical need.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board has the authority, pursuant to 59 O.S. 1993 §637, to discipline a person who has received a license to practice osteopathic medicine from the Board.

RECEIVED
JAN 26 PM 3:05

2. Reed violated 59 O.S. 1993 §637(A)(2), to wit:

"... failing to keep complete and accurate records of purchase and disposal of controlled drugs or narcotic drugs ..."

because of the Findings of Fact as outlined in paragraph 1 above.

3. Reed violated 59 O.S. 1993 §637(A)(2)(g), to wit:

"signing a blank prescription form; or dispensing, prescribing, administering or otherwise distributing any drug, controlled substance or other treatment without sufficient examination or the establishment of a physician/patient relationship, or for other than medically accepted therapeutic or experimental or investigational purpose duly authorized by a state or federal agency, or not in good faith to relieve pain and suffering, or not to treat an ailment, physical infirmity or disease..."

because of the Findings of Fact as outlined in paragraphs 3-15 above.

4. Reed violated 59 O.S. 1993 §637(A)(7), to wit:

"has violated, or failed to comply with provisions of any act or regulation administered by the Board...."

and for violation of the Board's Rule, number 510:10-3-5(1) which states:

"(1) Indiscriminate or excessive prescribing, dispensing or administering of Controlled or Narcotic Drugs..."

because of the Findings of Fact as outlined in paragraphs 3-15 above.

ORDER

The Board having adopted the above described Findings of Fact and by clear and convincing evidence, adopted the above described Conclusions of Law hereby ordered that the license to practice osteopathic medicine issued to Guy D. Reed, License Number 1021 is revoked.

95 JUN 26 PM 3:05
STATE BOARD
OF OSTEOPATHIC
MEDICINE

IT IS THEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the license to practice osteopathic medicine in the State of Oklahoma issued to Guy D. Reed by the Oklahoma State Board of Osteopathic Examiners is REVOKED.

Dated this 30th day of June, 1994.

Barbara Pretwell
President
Oklahoma State Board of Osteopathic
Examiners

ram/sboexa

OKLAHOMA STATE BOARD
OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS
93 JUN 26 PM 3:05

5. Pursuant to § 334.103, RSMo, this Board set this matter for Automatic Revocation hearing on January 20, 1995 and served notice of this hearing upon Respondent in a proper and timely fashion.

6. Respondent was not present for the hearing and no one appeared on his behalf. The Board was represented by Assistant Attorney General Evan Buchheim.

7. All the members of this Board were present, except for Dr. Marcus McCorcle, Dr. Robert Woods and Dr. Valerie Walker, throughout the automatic revocation hearing and all members, except for Dr. Marcus McCorcle, Dr. Robert Woods and Dr. Valerie Walker, participated in the Board's deliberation, vote and order.

8. The Board finds that the final and unconditional revocation of Respondent's Oklahoma license by the Oklahoma State Board of Osteopathic Examiners is grounds for which revocation is authorized in Missouri pursuant to § 334.103, RSMo.

Conclusions of Law

1. This Board has jurisdiction over this proceeding pursuant to § 334.103, RSMo.

2. Respondent's Oklahoma license was finally and unconditionally revoked based on grounds for which revocation is authorized in this state pursuant to § 334.100.2(4)(h), (5), and (8), RSMo 1994.

3. The Board concludes that the final and unconditional revocation of Respondent's Oklahoma license by the Oklahoma State Board of Osteopathic Examiners is grounds for which revocation is authorized in Missouri under § 334.103, RSMo.

Order

Pursuant to the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that upon the effective date of this Order the license of Respondent, Guy D. Reed, D.O., numbered D008563, to practice the healing arts in the State of Missouri shall be and is hereby REVOKED. The State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts further ORDERS that Respondent shall not apply for reinstatement of his license for seven (7) years from the effective date of this Order. Respondent is directed to immediately return to the Board his wall-hanging certificate, license and pocket care, and all other indicia of licensure.

IT IS SO ORDERED, effective January 25, 1995
State Board of Registration
for the Healing Arts


Alden Henrickson
Executive Director

(S E A L)

95 MAR 17 PM 3:04